

Communication

Detection of Picomole Amounts of Biological Substrates by *para*-Hydrogen-Enhanced NMR Methods in Conjunction with a Suitable Receptor Complex

Nicholas J. Wood, James A. Brannigan, Simon B. Duckett, Sarah L. Heath, and Jane Wagstaff J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129 (36), 11012-11013• DOI: 10.1021/ja074286k • Publication Date (Web): 21 August 2007 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 14, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 3 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 08/21/2007

Detection of Picomole Amounts of Biological Substrates by para-Hydrogen-Enhanced NMR Methods in Conjunction with a Suitable Receptor Complex

Nicholas J. Wood,[†] James A. Brannigan,[†] Simon B. Duckett,^{*,†} Sarah L. Heath,[‡] and Jane Wagstaff[†]

Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, YO10 5DD, York, U.K., and Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K.

Received June 13, 2007; E-mail: sbd3@york.ac.uk

Since the discovery of the anti-cancer drug *cis*-platin,¹ and the subsequent accumulation of evidence regarding its mode of action,² the reaction chemistry of transition metals toward biological ligands such as DNA nucleotides has been the focus of intense study.³ Of particular interest is the mode of binding that these ligands exhibit; while DNA itself offers a multiplicity of N- and O-donor sites, it is generally accepted that the remarkable efficacy of cis-platin arises from its ability to form intrastrand cross-links, by binding covalently to the N7 sites in guanine.⁴ Previous studies have shown the parahydrogen enhancement phenomenon (PHIP) to be a valuable tool in the study of species formed via reactions with hydrogen.⁵ Here, we use PHIP to detect picomole amounts of biological substrates by reference to the binding of pyridine, purine, and adenine to IrCl- $(H)_2(PPh_3)_2$. This approach provides a sensitivity gain that exceeds that of an NMR cryo-probe by at least 2 orders of magnitude. Optimized detection of DNA base moieties by tip-enhanced Raman methods⁶ and HPLC-MS offer similar detection limits.⁷

For this work, NMR tubes were charged with $\sim 0.9 \ \mu mol \ (0.7)$ mg) of IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂, and the biological ligand, 0.12 mmol (10 μ L) of pyridine (py), and made up with d_8 -toluene. Samples were placed under 3 atm of pure p-H₂, shaken, and then introduced into the spectrometer at 295 K. The ¹H NMR spectra obtained under these conditions showed enhanced hydride resonances at δ -6.86 and -17.58 due to IrCl(CO)(H)₂(PPh₃)₂.8 At 325 K, two new mutually coupled hydride signals due to 1 were observed at δ -21.03 and -21.82 (Figure 1). Each of these signals appears as a triplet of antiphase doublets where the antiphase component arises from coupling between the two former p-H₂ nuclei. The triplet couplings vanish with phosphorus decoupling, and when a ¹H-³¹P HMQC experiment was recorded, both hydrides' resonances connected to a single ³¹P signal at δ 21.75. These data are consistent with a structure of 1 containing two trans phosphine ligands are arranged cis to two inequivalent hydride ligands.

Upon repetition of the experiment using ¹³CO-labeled IrCl(CO)-(PPh₃)₂, ¹³C-derived couplings to the hydride signals were observed for IrCl(H)₂(CO)(PPh₃)₂, but not for **1**, demonstrating the absence of a carbonyl ligand in the new species. Repeating the experiment with 0.03 mmol (2.5 μ L) of ¹⁵N-labeled pyridine gave rise to an extra 17.7 Hz coupling in the hydride resonance at δ –21.03, consistent with it arising from a proton *trans* to pyridine. Product **1** is therefore IrCl(H)₂(PPh₃)₂(py) (Scheme 1). ¹H–¹⁵N HMQC experiments allowed the ¹⁵N resonance to be located at δ –131 via magnetization transfer from both hydride ligands. Further evidence for the pyridine ligand was obtained by 1D NOE spectroscopy: irradiating the hydride at δ –21.03 yielded an NOE connection to a δ 7.86 signal corresponding to an *ortho*-phenyl proton of triphenylphosphine. In contrast, irradiation of the hydride at δ –21.82 yielded NOE connections to signals at δ 7.86 and



Figure 1. Hydride region of ¹H NMR spectra obtained from a sample of IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ and p-H₂ at 325 K containing (a) pyridine and (b) ¹⁵N-pyridine (now ³¹P decoupled).

Scheme 1. Formation of IrCl(H)₂(PPh₃)₂(pyridine) 1

IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂
$$\xrightarrow{p-H_2, \text{ pyridine}}_{d_8-\text{toluene, }325 \text{ K}}$$
 1 $H \xrightarrow{\text{PPh}_3}_{\text{H}}$ $H \xrightarrow{\text{PPh}_3}_{\text{PPh}_3}$

8.46, thereby demonstrating the spatial proximity of the hydride ligand to both the phosphine ligand and the *ortho* proton of pyridine. Key NMR data for **1** can be found in the Supporting Information. This complex has been partially characterized previously.⁹

To learn about the methods sensitivity to detecting pyridine appropriate samples were prepared. After 32 scans at 325 K and 400 MHz (\sim 1 min of acquisition), a signal-to-noise ratio of 11 was obtained for the hydride resonances of **1** when the pyridine concentration was 0.1 μ M, diagnostic of 50 pmol of substrate.

To test the response of the system to a more sterically demanding substrate, pyridine was replaced by benzimidazole. In the associated ¹H NMR spectra, hydride signals due to **2**, the benzimidazole analogue of **1**, could be seen at δ –19.22 and –22.19. The ¹⁵N chemical shift of the coordinated nitrogen atom of the five-membered ring of **2** was located on a non-enriched sample at δ –137.

The IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ system was then tested as a sensor for purine. An NMR tube containing p-H₂, 4.2 µmol of purine, and IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ yielded six new hydride signals at 305 K due to three products, **3a-c**, isomers of IrCl(H)₂(purine)(PPh₃)₂. The hydride resonances for **3a** (δ -21.28 and -22.70) are of a much greater magnitude than those for **3b** (δ -20.86 and -21.82), which are themselves of slightly greater magnitude than those for 3c (δ -19.57 and -21.86); assuming that each product is enhanced to the same degree, integration of the resonances indicates that 3a, 3b, and 3c are formed in an approximate ratio of 100:18.6:0.8 at 305 K. Figure 2 illustrates the corresponding spectrum at 325 K. The chemical shifts and coupling parameters of these products closely match those of 1 and 2, indicating that each of the new species is formed from purine ligating through a nitrogen atom; these assignments were confirmed using a range of ¹H-¹H COSY and ¹H-³¹P HMQC experiments.

[†] University of York. [‡] University of Manchester.



Figure 2. Hydride region of the ${}^{1}H{}^{31}P{}$ NMR spectrum of a sample of IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ containing 0.5 mg of purine and *p*-H₂ at 325 K. The signal marked with * is due to IrCl(H)₂(PPh₃)₃.

Scheme 2. Tautomers of Purine and Adenine



Scheme 2 shows the principal tautomers of purine with theoretical studies suggesting that the N^9 -H tautomer is most stable.¹⁰

The ligand arrangements in products 3a-d (Scheme 3) were partially assigned by comparison of their ¹H NMR data with that of 1 and 2 since inspection of the chemical shifts of the trans-tonitrogen hydride signals shows that this parameter is diagnostic of the type of heterocyclic ring in which the ligating nitrogen is situated: hydride signals in the region $\delta - 19.2$ to -19.8 arise from coordination via a five-membered ring, whereas those at δ -21.0 to -21.5 arise from coordination via a six-membered ring. In the case of 3a-d, it is therefore evident that 3a and 3b are species where the purine ligand is bound via the pyrimidine moiety, while 3c and 3d contain purine bound via the imidazole moiety. The binding mode of the purine ligand was further determined by NOE spectroscopy where connections for the resonance of the hydride trans to chloride provided the crucial information. This was further supported by comparison of the ¹H chemical shifts of the coordinated purine with those of free purine. For **3a**, the δ -22.66 hydride signal shows two NOE interactions to purine ligand protons which resonate at δ 8.98 and 8.65; in **3a** the purine is bound via N¹ because this is the only binding site which has two adjacent protons (H⁶ and H²). In free purine, these protons usually resonate (in d_6 -DMSO) at δ 9.21 and 8.99, respectively; it appears that, upon coordination, the resonances of the protons are shifted upfield by approximately 0.3 ppm relative to the free purine. The N¹ site of purine is the most basic and least sterically hindered nitrogen donor site in the molecule, which is consistent with the formation of the N¹-ligated product 3a as the most abundant product.³ The PHIP attained in the case of 3a proved to be great enough to allow the rapid location of a $^{15}\mathrm{N}$ signal at δ -177 for the coordinated N^1 nitrogen with the nucleus at natural abundance, which means that signals equating to the detection of femtomole quantities are seen when signal averaging is employed. For 3b, although the signals are of lower intensity than those of **3a**, the δ -21.80 hydride signal shows one NOE interaction arising from protons on the purine ligand, at δ 8.64. This supports the assignment of **3b** as a product arising from N³ coordination of purine, as only the H² proton is adjacent to the binding site; again, coordination shifts the H² resonance approximately 0.3 ppm upfield from its free ligand value. The relatively small magnitude of the hydride signals of 3b are consistent with this as the N3 position is the least basic and most

 $\it Scheme \ 3.$ Structures of the N1-, N3-, N9-, and N7-Coordinated Purine Complexes 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d



sterically hindered site. For **3c**, the δ –21.86 hydride signal exhibits one NOE connection to a ligated purine resonance at δ 8.45. Since the H⁸ proton usually resonates at approximately δ 8.7, it is evident that this signal has also been shifted approximately 0.3 ppm *upfield* upon coordination. However, the assignment of this signal to the H⁸ proton does not distinguish between the N⁷-ligated product and the N⁹-ligated product since both would be expected to show an NOE to H⁸. In this case, the *absence* of another NOE interaction in the spectrum due to H⁶ allows **3c** to be assigned as containing an N⁹-ligated purine ligand. Unfortunately, the hydride signals of **3d** are of insufficient magnitude to conduct NOESY experiments; however, the δ –19.43 chemical shift of the *trans*-to-nitrogen hydride signal, along with the elimination of the other N-donor sites, allows this species to be identified as the N⁷-coordinated isomer of IrCl(H)₂(purine)(PPh₃)₂.

When the analogous reaction with adenine was examined, the resultant ¹H NMR spectrum (325 K) contained dominant PHIP-enhanced hydride signals arising from **4a**, at δ –21.37 and δ –22.74, for **4b** at δ –20.90 and for **4c** at δ –20.69 and δ –22.22. These are due to the N¹-, N³-, and N⁹-linkage isomers, respectively. Coordination of the N³ site of adenine as found in **4b** has only previously been reported when the other sites are blocked.¹¹

In this report, we establish that p-H₂-based NMR methods in conjunction with a suitable reporter complex can be used to detect picomole quantities of nucleobases via the detection of diagnostic signals in a normally unoccupied region of the proton NMR spectrum. The sensitivity of the PHIP-enhanced method compares favorably with HPLC-MS for the detection of such species.

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic details and key NMR observations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Rosenberg, B.; Vancamp, L.; Trosko, J. E.; Mansour, V. H. Nature 1969, 222, 385.
- (2) Jamieson, E. R.; Lippard, S. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 2467 and references therein.
- (3) Lippert, B. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 200, 487.
- (4) See for example: Metal Ions in Biological Systems; Barnes, K. R., Lippard, S. J., Sigel, A., Sigel, H., Eds. Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York, 2004; Vol. 42, pp 143–177.
- (5) (a) Bowers, C. R.; Jones, D. H.; Kurur, N. D.; Labinger, J. A.; Pravica, M. G.; Weitekamp, D. P. Adv. Magn. Reson. 1990, 14, 269. (b) Natterer, J.; Bargon, J. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1997, 31, 293.
- (6) Domke, K. F.; Zhang, D.; Pettinger, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 6708.
- (7) Hines, H. N.; Brueggemann, E. E.; Hale, M. L. Anal. Biochem. 2004, 330, 119.
- Hasnip, S. K.; Colebrooke, S. A.; Sleigh, C. J.; Duckett, S. B.; Taylor, D. R.; Barlow, G. K.; Taylor, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 743.
 Rosales, M.; González, T.; Atencio, R.; Sánchez-Delgado, R. A. Dalton Trans. 2004, 2952.
- (10) Broo, A.; Holmén, A. Chem. Phys. 1996, 211, 147.
- (11) Meiser, C.; Song, B.; Freiseinger, E.; Peilert, M.; Sigel, H.; Lippert, B. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 388.

JA074286K